

ORIGINAL

Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Vice President
Federal Regulatory

AirTouch Communications

1818 N Street, N.W. Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: 202 293-4960 Facsimile: 202 293-4970

Kathleen.Abernathy@ccmail.AirTouch.COM

April 17, 1997

RECEIVED

EX PARTE

APR 1 7 1997

Mr. William F. Caton

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Acting Secretary

mission

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 222

Washington, DC 20554

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RE:

Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information (CC Docket No. 96-115)

&

Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish Competitive Service Safeguards for Local Exchange Carrier Provision of Commercial Mobile Radio Services (WT Docket 96-162)

Rudio Services (WT Deer

Dear Mr. Caton:

On Wednesday, April 16, 1997, Brian Kidney and I, on behalf of AirTouch Communications, Inc. met with Dorothy Atwood, Jeannie Su, and Raelynn Tibayan Remy of the Policy and Rules Division of the Common Carrier Bureau to discuss the above proceedings. Please associate the attached material with the above-referenced proceeding.

Two copies of this notice are being submitted to the Secretary in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me at 202-293-4960 should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Attachments

cc: Dorothy Atwood

Jeannie Su

Raelynn Tibayan Remy

No. of Copies rec'a List ABCDE

AirTouch Communications, Inc.

Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information CC Docket 96-115

and

Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish Competitive Service Safeguards for Local Exchange Carrier Provision of Commercial Mobile Radio Services WT Docket 96-162

April 16, 1997

Brian Kidney Kathleen Q. Abernathy

NEED FOR EFFECTIVE SAFEGUARDS

- Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) have continued control over essential bottleneck facilities.
- This creates a unique ability to leverage their wireline market power to advance wireless interests in instances where BOCs have in-region cellular or broadband PCS licenses.
- Other wireless competitors -- including new PCS entrants -- can not effectively compete absent FCC imposed safeguards that protect against discrimination and cross-subsidization.
- FCC must implement effective safeguards so that competitors can construct networks and offer competitive alternatives to BOC monopolies without BOC interference.
- CPNI, in particular, should be protected to ensure that customers of BOC and other LEC monopolies are not anticompetitively targeted by LEC affiliated CMRS or long distance carriers.

CRITICAL ISSUES

- The FCC should conclude that the goal of creating effective competitive safeguards is promoted by maintaining the following requirements of Section 22.903:
 - BOCs must not provide any CPNI to a wireless affiliate unless the information is made publicly available on same terms and conditions. (Section 22.903(f)).
 - The wireless affiliate has access to BOC facilities only on compensatory, arm's-length basis which is made available to competitors on same terms and conditions. (Section 22.903(a)).
 - R&D by BOC for wireless affiliate done only on a compensatory basis. (Section 22.903(c)).
 - All transactions between wireless affiliate and BOC must be in writing and available for FCC inspection. (Section 22.903(d)).
- The FCC should not revise the categories of "telecommunications services" to merge local exchange, interexchange, or CMRS buckets.
 - BOCs continue to retain monopoly power that no IXC or CMRS competitor can match.

CUSTOMER APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS

- The FCC has authority to determine type of prior customer approval that is in the public interest.
- In traditionally competitive markets, such as CMRS and long distance, carriers should be given flexibility regarding customer approval.
- In traditionally monopoly markets, such as local exchange, carriers should be held to a strict standard regarding the use of CPNI, obtained merely because customers had no alternative.
 - Customers should provide written authorization for their local telephone CPNI to be used in marketing competitive services.
 - -- The "Notice and Opt Out" mechanism proposed by some LECs fails to provide adequate information to the BOC customer.
 - LECs should not be able to use CPNI to target certain customers for the purpose of obtaining authorization to market other telecommunications services.

CUSTOMER APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS (Cont'd)

- CPNI authorization must be obtained in advance of -- not concurrent with -- solicitations for competitive service offerings.
- Until LEC markets are competitive, LECs should be required to seek authorization from their customers to release CPNI to all other competing telecommunications carriers as a prerequisite to their use of such information. This ensures that LEC affiliated enterprises do not obtain an anticompetitive advantage merely because of their affiliation.
- The joint marketing authorization for LEC/CMRS services, read together with Section 222, means that such joint marketing can be performed only after LEC customers have given authorization to use their CPNI.





Kathleen Q. Abernathy Vice President Federal Regulatory

AirTouch Communications 1818 N Street N.W. Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: 202 293-4960 Facsumite: 202 293-4970

June 20, 1996

EX PARTE

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

JUN 2:0 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

RE: Customer Proprietary Network Information (CC Docket No. 96-115)

Dear Mr. Caton:

On Wednesday, June 19, 1996, Brian Kidney and I, on behalf of AirTouch Communications, Inc. met with Richard Metzger and Blaise Scinto of the Common Carrier Bureau to discuss the above proceeding. Please associate the attached material with the above-referenced proceeding.

Two copies of this notice are being submitted to the Secretary in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me at 202-293-4960 should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Attachments

cc: Richard Metzger
Blaise Scinto



Kathleen O. Abermans

• de President

Federa; Regulators

Air Touch Communications
1818 \ Street \ W
South 2011

Washington, DC 20036

Facsimile, 202 293-4940

March 20, 1996

David Nall, Esq.
Acting Deputy Chief
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W.
Room 7002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: <u>CPNI Provisions of the TCA and Section 22.903(f)</u>

Dear David:

One of the most significant provisions of the new Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("TCA") is Section 222, the section that allows customers to control their customer proprietary network information ("CPNI"). AirTouch Communications, Inc. ("AirTouch") strongly supports customer participation in the telecommunications marketplace, robust competition and customer control over CPNI. We further believe that the CPNI provisions of the TCA must be read to support the growth of competition.

The Commission's current rules on CPNI were adopted to promote competition by prohibiting incumbent monopoly local exchange carriers from using their customers' CPNI anti-competitively. One such restriction is the prohibition of Section 22.903(f) which prevents a Bell Operating Company ("BOC") from disclosing CPNI to its cellular affiliate unless the CPNI is "publicly available [to other carriers] on the same terms and conditions." While the wireless market has become increasingly competitive, the local exchange market has not. Consequently, the public interest concerns that prompted the adoption of this rule are still valid today.

New Section 222(c)(1) states that a telecommunications carrier may only "use, disclose, or permit access to individually identifiable customer proprietary network information in its provision of (A) the telecommunications service from which such information is derived, or (B) services necessary to, or used in, the provision of such telecommunications service, including the

David Nall, Esq. March 20, 1996 Page 2

publishing of directories" unless. inter alia, it has the approval of the customer. This section gives customers explicit control over their CPNI for the first time, establishing a statutory floor for customer CPNI protection. This section does not, however, vitiate Section 22.903(f). Nothing in Section 222(c)(1) affects the Commission's power (and obligation) to establish additional policies to promote competition in the public interest. As a result, the Commission can, and must, address the competitive problem of unfettered sharing of CPNI between BOCs and their wireless affiliates. To the extent a customer specifically requests in writing the release of CPNI to another person pursuant to Section 222(c)(2), then the restriction set forth in Section 22.903(f) would likely no longer apply. However, this does not change the fact that Section 22.903(f) remains an important competitive safeguard that has not been materially altered or eliminated by the TCA. Certainly Section 222(c)(1) should not be read to allow unrestricted BOC access to customer CPNI in a manner that eliminates the protections of Section 22.903(f).

The TCA is about competition. Indeed, Congress specifically stated that the TCA is intended to "provide for a pro-competitive, de-regulatory national policy framework to accelerate rapidly private sector development of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services to all Americans by opening all telecommunications markets to competition." In keeping with Congress purpose in passing the TCA, the Commission must

^{2/} However, AirTouch also believes that the Commission is obligated to investigate the best means of effectuating the purposes of Section 222(c)(2) in light of Section 22.903(f). For example, the Commission should focus on the statutory meaning of the term "affirmative written request" and establish rules that promote competition while protecting the customer's right to control its CPNL

^{3/} See Preface to the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Joint Explanatory Statement of the Commission of Conference, 104th Cong. Rec. 1107 (January 31, 1996).

David Nall, Esq. March 20, 1996 Page 3

read Section 222(c)(1) in a manner that preserves the competitive safeguards of Section 22.903. Any other reading would be contrary to the purposes of the TCA and contrary to the public interest.

Sincerely,

AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Kathleen Q. Abernathy

David A. Gross

Of Counsel:

Leonard J. Kennedy
DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON
A Professional Limited Liability Company
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802
(202) 776-2000

cc: Michele Farquhar
Regina Keeney
William E. Kennard
Karen Brinkman
Barbara Esbin
Richard Metzger
Michael Wack
Richard Welch

Get great awards fast with the new Pacific Bell Awards Program.

Now using your phone is the fastest way to earn travel discounts, software, merchandise, and free phone cards. Spend at least \$50 a month on combined local and long distance charges billed by Pacific Bell and earn awards fast — every month if you want.* Or else save up points for larger awards. It's free to sign up. And you earn awards for something you already do: use the phone. We'll even give you a first month bonus of 1,000 points if you call before May 15. So join today by calling or sending in the coupon. You could be getting something back with your very next phone call.

Just call 1-800-211-6172,

*Award redemptions based on \$100 total billed revenue each month. (Subject to tartif approval.

Positio Staf Awards to Straight to you by Fuelin Staf Balon, is stady exceed exhaultery of Fuelin Status Group

Balant Starte Security Staff of Staff Staff

Join for free and get 1,000 bonus points.

فخف	 		٠.

Address

State

Telephone

Signature

Return the ecupen to: Pacific Bell Awards P.G. Bez 54980, Les Angeles, CA 900

Or call 1-800-211-6172.

By eighing show, (1) I authorise any of Pacific Bell Entras' affiliates (Pacific Bell, Pacific State). Since, and all related economics to provide Pacific Bell Entras with any/of of my pact/present/states customy information and to qualify, annell and credit are in Avanda and in contestion with any other programs or promotions; (2) I authorise Pacific Bell Exima and its related economics to where that information with each other for each unex. Pacific Bell Exima and its efficience of the information to others unless I requisel bits in writing at any time. All Pacific Bell residential customers are eligible to join Avanda.